

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOSE ERMILO MEDINA, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C17-0099RSL

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
SHOW CAUSE ORDER

13 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion for Order to Show
14 Cause to Respond." Dkt. # 72. Plaintiff states that Liliana Ramos is the only remaining
15 defendant in this litigation, but that she has not participated in a Rule 26(f) conference as
16 ordered by the Court. Plaintiff therefore seeks an order to show cause to compel
17 compliance with the discovery order and to sanction past failures. Plaintiff's premise is
18 faulty. The Court has been unable to find any indication that Ms. Ramos has appeared in
19 this action, and her address is not in the Court's CM/ECF docket. Thus, there is no
20 indication that Ms. Ramos was served with the various orders regarding the discovery
21 conference and initial disclosures. An order compelling compliance in these
22 circumstances would be premature and unwarranted.

23
24 //

1 The Clerk of Court is directed to add Ms. Ramos' address (2044 S. 17th Street,
2 Tacoma, WA 98405) to the docket and to mail copies of Dkt. # 67 and # 74 to her at that
3 address. Plaintiff's request for an order to show cause is DENIED.
4

5 Dated this 19th day of October, 2017.

6 

7 Robert S. Lasnik
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26